RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 3 0 2009

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/S8/33 (01-09)
Approved for use through 02/28/2009. OMB 0831-0331
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control state.

Inhereby cartify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Benvice with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed or Mall Stop AF. Commissions for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on March 29, 2009 Bignature ### March 1, 2009 Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filled with this request. This request is being filled with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. Lam the papicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.73 Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (From PT OlsaNe) #### March 29, 2009 Interpretation number facting under 37 CFR 1.34, Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the truentors or assigness of record of the artific interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.			Docket Number (C	onlines)	
Inhereby cartify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed of "Mat Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1402, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW				
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envalope addressed of "Mall Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1402, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on March 29, 2009 Signature **World** Signature **World** Typed or printed Robert Moll name			NetSuite 708 (formarly NatLedger 701)		
In an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1489, March 1, 2002 Patents, P.O. Box 1489, Maxardria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1,8(a)] on March 29, 2009 Signature	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application N	lumber	Filed	
patents, P.Ö. Box 1490, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450' [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on March 29, 2009 Signature	United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for	10,074,400		March 4 SOOD	
Brian Chess Art Unit Exeminer Typed or printed Robert Moll Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. Signature Robert Moll Typed or printed name 650-587-9153 Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Date NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	10/0/1,490	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	March 1, 2002	
At Unit Typed or printed Robert Moll Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTC/SBP6) storney or agent of record. 33,741 attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signetures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(e) are required.	on March 29, 2009	First Named	First Named Inventor		
At Unit 2157	many yearst mall		Brian Chess		
Typed or printed Robert Moll name Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PT C/SB/P8) attorney or agent of record. Registration number. attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signetures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	Signature PFTVVIII	Artinit	Te	rominer	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the spilicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTC/38/96) stiturely or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signetures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	Typed or printed Deboot Mail	1			
with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. see 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) ### attorney or agent of record. Registration number	name	2157	<i>F</i>	AVI M. Gold	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the spplicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) stitorrey or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above	-Identified ap	plication. No an	nendments are being filed	
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) I typed or printed name atterney or agent of record. Registration number atterney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signstures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.					
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) atterney or agent of record. Registration number atterney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.					
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) atterney or agent of record. Registration number atterney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	This request is holds filed with a setime of appeal				
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. Lem the spellcant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	I This request is being filed with a notice of appear.				
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. Lem the spellcant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.					
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. Lem the spellcant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.					
applicant/Inventor. Signature			B).		
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.78(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) astorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(a) are required.	Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provide	d.			
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.78(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) astorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(a) are required.					
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.78(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) astorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(a) are required.					
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.78(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) astorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(a) are required.	I om the				
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/8B/96) attorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(a) are required.	1				
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) attorney or agent of record. Registration number	applicant/inventor.			ignature	
(Form PTO/SB/96) attorney or agent of record. 33,741 Registration number		Robe		•	
Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Merch 29, 2009 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34			Турво с	r printed name	
Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Merch 29, 2009 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34		CEO.	E07 0450		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	Registration number 33,741				
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34			Telepi	none number	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.	attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	Mar	h 29, 2009		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.	Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	_		Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.					
Submit multiple forms if more than one eignature is required, see below.	NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire	re interest or the	ir representativė(s) s	re required.	
	Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below	.			
*Total of One forms are submitted.	One	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

This collection of information to required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.8. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and authriting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the incividual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or augustations for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. BEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1480, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need excisionce in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

US Application No. 10/071,496

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW ARGUMENTS

The invention relates to client-side caching systems and methods that reduce page latency and bandwidth usage of internet based and web applications.

5

In an embodiment, a server computes a cookle value based on the last version of a resource (e.g. a web page). When an application user uses a browser to request a page from the server, the server responds with a small non-displayed page and a client-side script. The client-side script appends the cookie value to the original request and the browser automatically re-requests the page with the appended cookie value. If the most recent version of the page is in the browser cache, the browser gets a cache hit, which means the page is retrieved from the browser cache rather than from the server, rapidly displaying the page to the user. If, on the other hand, there is only an older version of the page in the browser cache, there is a cache miss (because the client-side's rewritten request will not match any request in the browser cache) and the browser will send the request to the server to retrieve the most recent version of the page.

Claim 1 recites a cilent-side caching system, comprising:

a client for issuing a request based on user selection for a resource on a server; and

a server for sending a response including a cookle and a client-side script to the client, wherein the cookie value represents the last version of the resource, and the client-side script appends the cookle value to the request for a resource such that the client automatically re-requests the resource with the appended cookle value so that if the most recent version of the resource is in the client cache, the resource is retrieved from the client cache rather than from the server, and if not, the resource is retrieved from the server.

In sections 1-2, the Office action rejects claims 1-18 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,510,439 B1 to Rangarajan et al. (Rangarajan) and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0032701 to Gao et al. (Gao).

US Application No. 10/071,496

Specifically, the Office action on pages 2-3 asserts (1) Rangarajan teaches a client-side caching system except a client-side script that automatically rerequests a resource, (2) Gao teaches a client-side script that automatically requests updated data, and (3) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify Rangarajan in view of Gao to use a client-side script that automatically re-requests a resource. One would be motivated to do so because it is more efficient for the script to run on the client.

Rangarajan and Gao cannot establish a prima facie case of obviousness, because they fail to disclose the client-side script function recited in claim 1:

- 1) Claim 1 requires a client-side script function that appends the cookie value to the request for a resource such that the client automatically re-requests the resource with the appended cookie value so that if the most recent version of the resource is in the client cache, the resource is retrieved from the client cache rather than from the server, and if not, the resource is retrieved from the server.
- 2) The Office action concedes that: "Rangajan fails to teach the limitation further including the use of client-side script that automatically rerequests a resource" (See page 3, paragraph 3).
- 3) However, on page 3, paragraph 4, the Office action asserts this missing limitation is disclosed by Gao (paragraph 0047).
- 4) However, Gao falls to disclose it (See Gao paragraphs 0045-0051). As shown in Figure 5, a client 102 sends a request for a web page to the web server 104 (entry "1"), the web server returns an HTML file to the client (entry "2"), the client displays the file and requests additional files (e.g. images)(entry "3"), the web server returns the requested files and generates a Javascript file that will cause the client's browsers to generate a request for update data (entry "4").
- 5) Gao states: "When the JavaScript code is received, it will be automatically interpreted by the browser, which will cause the script program to

US Application No. 10/071,496

be executed by the browser. In accordance with the invention, the JavaScript code will cause the browser to request another page, for a second Web page.

This operation is represented by the fifth entry of FiG. 5, "5. RECEIVE SCRIPT, INTERPRET CODE, REQUEST UPDATE PAGE." The JavaScript code in accordance with the invention specifies that the requested second page will not be displayed by the browser. That is, the data contained in the second (update) page will be received, but no page will be displayed. Thus, the requested page comprises a "phantom" page (hence the dashed line representation of FiG. 2). When the Web server receives the request for the phantom page after operation (5), it will generate the requested data and will send it (otherwise formatted as an HTML page) to the browser. The sending operation is represented in FiG. 5 by the sixth entry, "6. SEND REQUESTED HTML DATA FOR PHANTOM PAGE" (Gao paragraph 0049, emphasis added).

- 6) Gao's JavaScript code causing the browser to request a <u>second</u> Web page indicates it is not a "<u>re-request of the resource</u>" that is, the first page requested by the user. Further, no user requests a <u>non-displayed second page</u>, known as a phantom page (Gao paragraph 0049). And what happened to the client-side script that <u>appends a cookle value</u> to the request in Gao? Gao discloses no cookle much less a cookle representing the last version of the first web page. The Office action limits its attention as to whether the Gao client-side script causes the client to <u>automatically re-request the resource</u> and it apparently doesn't.
- 7) Gao's paragraph 0050 tells us the client browser receives the requested update data, then the browser processes it according to the client-side script (Figure 5, entry "6") for display within the first web page. Could this update data be "the resource" first requested by the user? No that is the first web page. This update data is not being "re-requested." This update data is being requested for the first time! Thus, Gao requests two resources: "the first web page" with "the update data" (Figure 5, entry "7") once each then displays them.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

US Application No. 10/071,496

- 8) It is legal error to Ignore these claim limitations in analysis. See e.g., in re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) where the Federal Circuit held a reference did not render a claim prima facle obvious because the examiner ignored that a claim limitation was absent in the reference.
- P) In the amendment dated July 7, 2008, the applicants argued that Rangarajan does not disclose a client-side caching system because Ragarajan never uses the term "cache" or "caching" or "client cache" or "client-side cache." The Office action disagreed. On page 11, the Office action states "documents are kept/cached on the client" and on Rangarajan col. 7, lines 8-44, "there is an appropriate document sent to a client via a URL, which would be inherently stored in ... the client cache." In response to Office action on pages 11-12, we incorporate by reference the remarks of our amendment of July 7, 2008. Further, whether or not Rangarajan and Gao describe a client-side caching system misses the point: they fall to disclose the client-script function recited in claim 1 that solves the problem of reliably providing the last version of the resource while reducing latency and bandwidth usage.
- In view of the above, claim 1 is allowable over Rangarajan and Gao.
- Claims 2-4 are allowable due to dependency on claim 1.
- Contrary to the Office action on page 4, Rangarajan fails to describe the response includes a non-displayed relatively small page and falls to describe a client-side script in the entity body of the response as recited in claim 3.
- Contrary to the Office action on pages 4-5, Rangarajan does not teach claim 5 for the reasons discussed in connection with claim 1.
- Contrary to the Office action on page 5, Rangarajan does not teach an application server inserting a client-side script into the entity body of the response as recited in claim 6. In fact, Rangarajan falls to even describe "a server sending a script to the client" as asserted on page 3 of the Office action. Rangarajan's Figure 1 and

US Application No. 10/071,496

1	col. /, lines 6-16 and col. /, lines 51-44 describe the server-side (e.g., a Col
2	program, state management server 12, registration table 12, HTTP server 16) in a
3	dotted box and the client-side as end user 24. Rangarajan's col. 9, line 65 - col. 10
4	line 11 describe the HTTP server as sending a cookle and a document to a client.
5	Claim 7 is separately patentable, since Rangarajan falls to describe the server
6	setting the cookie value by determining the last modified time of each web page
7	in the same class as the web page which is the subject of the request, and setting
8 9	the cookie value to the maximum value of the last modified times.
0	Claim 8 is separately patentable, since Rangarajan fails to describe the server
1	setting the cookie value by determining the last modified time of each web page
12	in the same class as the web page which is the subject of the request, and setting
13	the cookle value to the maximum value of the last modified times.
14	
15	Contrary to the Office action on pages 6-8, Rangarajan and Gao do not teach the
16	claims 9-14 for reasons similar to that discussed in connection with claim 1.
17	Claim 14 is separately patentable, since Rangarajan fails to describe the server
18	setting the cookle value by determining the last modified time of each page in the
19	same class as the page which is the subject of the request, and setting the cooki
20	value to the maximum value of the last modified times.
21	
22	Contrary to the Office action on pages 8-9, Rangarajan and Gao do not teach
23	claims 15-17 as discussed in connection with claim 1.
24	Contrary to the Office action on page 10, Rangarajan and Gao do not teach claim
25	18 for reasons similar to that discussed in connection with claim 1. It is
26	respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance.
27	
28	Respectfully Submitted,
29	Wort my
30	Robert Moll, Reg. No. 33,741